Polarization Measurements in a UWB Multipath Channel
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Abstract — The polarization effects in an ultra-wideband (UWB) propagation channel can be studied with arrays of propagation measurements. An approach to estimate the polarization is presented for the single-path channel. For the multi-path channel, an array signal processing algorithm (the Sensor-CLEAN algorithm) was applied to array measurement data to decompose a received waveform with a dense multi-path profile into its component single-path signals. This algorithm was tested on a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ array of UWB propagation measurements for three receiving antenna orientations. An estimation algorithm was constructed to characterize time-of-arrival, angle-of-arrival, waveform shape and polarization of each resolvable waveform in the composite received signal. A modified channel model was proposed to include the polarization characteristics. The suggested channel model with estimated parameters was applied to estimate the received waveform for a different antenna orientation. The estimated waveform was compared with a measured waveform to verify the polarization estimation algorithm and the modified channel model including polarization characteristics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultra-wideband (UWB) systems transmit signals with -10 dB bandwidths that are at least 20% of center frequency [3]. Hence, a UWB transmitted signal sounding the propagation channel undergoes distortion over a wide frequency range. Antennas in UWB systems are significant pulse-shaping filters [1]. In order to characterize a UWB channel, Cramer et al., estimated time-of-arrival, angle-of-arrival, and waveform shape, based on observations of spatial arrays of UWB received signals [7]. However, the receiving antenna characteristics which include its transfer function, gain pattern, and antenna polarization, were considered to be part of the multipath propagation channel. The spatial and temporal model in [7] was insufficient for designing the receiver for a different antenna orientation.

This paper describes how the receiving antenna senses the impinging wave in a multipath environment. To characterize the channel, an array measurement experiment is conducted that includes antenna measurements in orthogonally polarized orientations. An algorithm is applied to the measurement data to estimate time-of-arrival, angle-of-arrival, waveform shape and polarization for resolvable components of the impinging composite signal.

A modified channel propagation model is suggested that includes polarization characteristics. The resulting channel model (in one such experiment) with parameter estimates has been applied to predict the received waveform for an antenna with different orientation. The predicted waveform is compared with the waveform measured by the reoriented antenna.

II. POLARIZATION EFFECTS IN A UWB SINGLE-PATH CHANNEL

A. UWB Single Path Radio Channel

The single directional channel transfer function $\hat{H}_{SD}(f)$ is defined as the transfer function from the transmitting antenna terminal to the electric field at the reference point of the receiving antenna [8, 9]. The single directional channel $\hat{H}_{SD}(f)$ includes the effects of the transmitting antenna and the propagation channel, and $\hat{H}_{SD}(f)$ is a vector, which includes the polarization information of the impinging wave for a UWB single-path channel at the receiving antenna reference point.

The transfer function $\hat{H}_{R}(f)$ is the sensitivity of an antenna, which acts as the receiving transfer function of the antenna, is defined by [4]

$$
V_R(f) = \hat{E}(f) \cdot \hat{H}_{R}(f, \theta, \phi) = \hat{E}(f) \cdot H_R(f, \theta, \phi) \hat{\rho_a}
$$

(1)

where $V_R(f)$ is the Fourier transform of the voltage amplitude at the antenna terminal, $\hat{E}(f)$ is the impinging electric field at the reference point of the receiving antenna, and $\hat{\rho_a}$ is the polarization unit vector of the receiving antenna, which consists of a $\theta$–component and a $\phi$–component. The antenna polarization $\hat{\rho_a}$ is perpendicular to the direction of the impinging wave propagation. Hence, with the far-field assumption, the polarization unit vector $\hat{\rho_a}$ of the receiving antenna and the polarization unit vector $\hat{\rho}$ of the impinging wave are in the same plane which is perpendicular to the direction of the impinging wave propagation (Fig. 1). In Eq. (1), the sensitivity is represented as a multiplication of the antenna polarization $\hat{\rho_a}$ and the scalar sensitivity $H_R(f, \theta, \phi)$ when polarization matched to a wave arriving at an elevation angle $\theta$ and an azimuth angle $\phi$. Therefore, when the output voltage $S(f)$ of the pulser sounds the single directional channel $\hat{H}_{SD}(f)$, the voltage at the receiving antenna terminal $V_R(f)$ is represented as the inner product of vectors,

$$
V_R(f) = S(f) \hat{H}_{SD}(f) \cdot \hat{H}_{R}(f, \theta, \phi)
$$

(2)
receiving antenna transfer function is known for both cases. The first measurement, the antenna orientation only is changed, and the waveform is measured again. The goal of this section is to develop a wave polarization characterization method to the receiving antenna reference point is a time-varying quantity. When the wave propagates channel, especially when with penetration and/or reflection by an object the polarity is a function of time. That is, even for the linearly polarized UWB transmitted signal’s electric field, the signal undergoes channel filtering so that the signal polarity becomes non-linear and time-varying. The time-domain representation of the impinging electric field \( \hat{e}^i(t) \) is represented by the convolution of functions as

\[
\hat{e}^i(t) = s(t) * h_{SD}(t) \hat{p}_i(t)
\]

where \( s(t) \) is the inverse Fourier transform of the pulser output voltage \( S(f) \), and \( h_{SD}(t) \hat{p}_i(t) \) is the time-domain representation of the single directional channel transfer function \( H_{SD}(f) \).

Using the linear-time invariant (LTI) nature of the channel, the time-domain representation of the voltage at the receiving antenna terminal with measurement noise is represented as

\[
\begin{align*}
  r_1(t) &= [\hat{e}^i(t) \cdot \hat{p}_{a1}] * h_R(t, \theta_1, \phi_1) + n_1(t) \\
  r_2(t) &= [\hat{e}^i(t) \cdot \hat{p}_{a2}] * h_R(t, \theta_2, \phi_2) + n_2(t)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r_k(t) \) is the \( k^{th} \) measured voltage at the receiving antenna terminal at time \( t \), \( h_R(t, \theta_k, \phi_k) \) is the time-domain representation of the scalar sensitivity \( H_R(f) \) for a wave arriving at elevation angle \( \theta_k \) and azimuth angle \( \phi_k \). \( \hat{p}_{a_1} \) is the polarization unit vector of the receiving antenna, and \( n_k(t) \) is noise or artifacts from other multipath \( (k = 1 \ or \ 2) \). By the Gram-Schmidt process, since the polarization unit vector \( \hat{p}_{a_1} \) and \( \hat{p}_{a_2} \) of the receiving antenna and the polarization unit vector \( \hat{p}_i \) of the impinging wave are in the same plane, the impinging electric field \( \hat{e}^i(t) \) can be represented as

\[
\hat{e}^i(t) = \hat{p}_{a_1}(\hat{e}^i(t) \cdot \hat{p}_{a_1}) + \frac{\hat{p}_{a_2} - (\hat{p}_{a_1} \cdot \hat{p}_{a_2})\hat{p}_{a_1}}{\|\hat{p}_{a_2} - (\hat{p}_{a_1} \cdot \hat{p}_{a_2})\hat{p}_{a_1}\|} \cdot \hat{e}^i(t)
\]

In Eq. (6), \( \hat{p}_{a_1} \) and \( \hat{p}_{a_2} \) are given, and \( (\hat{e}^i(t) \cdot \hat{p}_{a_1}) \) and \( (\hat{e}^i(t) \cdot \hat{p}_{a_2}) \) can be estimated by Eq. (4) and (5).

Estimation of \( (\hat{e}^i(t) \cdot \hat{p}_{a_1}) \) and \( (\hat{e}^i(t) \cdot \hat{p}_{a_2}) \) uses a deconvolution process adapted from Riad [10],

\[
\hat{e}^i(t) \cdot \hat{p}_{a_k} = \mathbb{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{R_k(f) H_R(f, \theta_k, \phi_k)}{|H_R(f, \theta_k, \phi_k)^2 + C} \right\}
\]

where the superscript (*) denotes the complex conjugate, \( \mathbb{F}^{-1} \) is the inverse Fourier transform, \( C \) is a smoothing constant, and \( R_k(f) \) is the Fourier transform of \( r_k(t) \), for \( k = 1 \ or \ 2 \).

Therefore, the third received waveform \( r_3(t) \) for a different antenna orientation, where the receiving antenna transfer function is \( h_R(t, \theta_3, \phi_3) \) and the polarization vector of the receiving antenna is \( \hat{p}_{a_3} \), can be estimated using Eq. (1), (3), (6), and (7).

\[
\bar{r}_3(t) = (\hat{e}^i(t) \cdot \hat{p}_{a_3}) * h_R(t, \theta_3, \phi_3)
\]

B. Wave Polarization Characterization Method

Suppose that the received waveform is measured with a known direction of impinging wave propagation. After the first measurement, the antenna orientation only is changed, and the waveform is measured again. The goal of this section is to develop a wave polarization characterization method to estimate the third received waveform for a different antenna orientation with two antenna orientation measurements. The receiving antenna transfer function is known for both cases. Fig. 1 illustrates two examples of the antenna geometry associated with the same impinging wave. With a different antenna orientation, the impinging wave has a different elevation and azimuth angle of arrival for a different antenna polarization. That is, when we change the antenna orientation, \( H_R(f, \theta, \phi) \) and \( \hat{p}_a \) in Eq. (1) are changed.

The polarization of the impinging electric field \( \hat{E}^i(f) \) at the
This polarization characterization method and the waveform estimation technique are applied and tested to the measured data in the following sections.

III. AN UWB POLARIZATION MEASUREMENT

Arrays of propagation measurements were conducted in the lobby of an library building to test a proposed polarization estimation algorithm (Fig. 2). A $3 \times 3 \times 3$ cube of virtual array data with 30.48 cm spacing was measured by moving the receiving antenna to 27 positions for three antenna orientations. In the first test, the antenna was vertically polarized; in the second test, it was horizontally polarized with the same boresight as the first; in the third test, it was horizontally polarized with the top facing the original boresight. In the previous section, the impinging wave polarization can be characterized by two antenna orientation measurements. However, if the wave impinging direction is either the top or bottom direction of one antenna, and the wave polarization is orthogonal to the other antenna polarization, the received waveform does not give information of the electric field magnitude because of a weak signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Hence, three orthogonal antenna orientation measurements were conducted for each array position. The antenna was supported by a PVC pipe connection structure for three values of the height, and the bottom of the supporting structure was embedded in one of $3 \times 3$ grid of holes with 30.48 cm spacing on the plywood. Therefore, the total number of measurements per array location was 81.

The transmitting and receiving antennas were linearly polarized diamond dipole antennas [6]. The gain of the antenna peaks at about 0.5 dBi just above 2.0 GHz. The 3 dB gain bandwidth runs from about 1.4 GHz to 3.0 GHz. The diamond dipole is about 75% efficient around its 2 GHz center frequency with about a 3.0:1 VSWR. The transmitting antenna was rotated $45^\circ$ on the boresight axis from the vertically polarized orientation, and was 60 inches above the floor. The center of the array was 152.4 cm above the floor.}

A monocycle pulse with a sub-nanosecond width excited the transmitting antenna periodically every microsecond and the received waveform was measured with a digital sampling oscilloscope (DSO). A direct output measurement of the monocycle pulse by DSO is shown with FFT spectrum in Fig. 3. The effective 10 dB bandwidth of the pulser output is from 0.42 GHz to 2.36 GHz. A stable clock triggered both the transmitting pulser and the digital sampling oscilloscope. The clock was generated by a signal generator with 0 ns delay, 50 ns width, 2 ns leading edge, the high voltage 4 V, the low voltage 0 V, and the repetition frequency 1.00 MHz. The trigger level of DSO was set to 90 mV with a trigger line 10 dB attenuator connected. The sampling rate of the measured waveform was 20 GHz and each sample was averaged over 256 sweeps to achieve a higher SNR. Typical measured profiles are shown in Fig. 4 for different antenna orientations.

IV. UWB POLARIZATION ESTIMATION ALGORITHM

The goal of a channel characterization in this context is to extract the polarization structure of the channel from the received data to estimate the received waveform for an arbitrary antenna orientation. In the single-path channel case, the model in the previous section can be directly applied to estimate the desired waveform. However, for the multi-path channel case, it is necessary to develop the polarization channel model. Define the proposed channel model for the desired waveform estimation to be

$$r(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\hat{\rho}_{ak} \cdot \hat{\rho}_{uk}) s_{rec,k}(t - \tau_k, \theta_k, \phi_k) + n(t) \quad (9)$$

where $\tau_k$ is the time-of-arrival of the $k^{th}$ out of $N$ components at an elevation angle $\theta_k$ and an azimuth angle $\phi_k$, $s_{rec,k}(t)$ is the $k^{th}$ received impulse waveform with polarization unit.
vector \( \mathbf{\hat{p}}_w \) is the polarization unit vector of the receiving antenna which depends on the antenna orientation and the direction of the impinging wave, and \( n(t) \) is receiver noise. To characterize the UWB channel, an array signal processing algorithm has been employed, i.e., the Sensor-CLEAN algorithm \[7\], which when applied to array measurement data decomposes a received waveform with a dense multi-path profile into each component single-path signal. The Sensor-CLEAN algorithm with some post-processing provides estimates of time-of-arrival \( \tau \), angle-of-arrival \( \theta \) and \( \phi \), and waveform shape \( s_{\text{rec},k}(t) \). Therefore, for the first step of a desired waveform estimation, the Sensor-CLEAN algorithm is applied to each set of antenna orientation measurements separately, whereby the algorithm provides three sets of output estimates. For the second step, a decomposed multi-path element in one set is combined with other elements by searching in the other two sets with a time-of-arrival constraint \( T_w \), an elevation angle-of-arrival \( \Theta_w \), and an azimuth angle-of-arrival constraint \( \Phi_w \). For the third step, the polarization unit vector of each multipath \( \mathbf{\hat{p}}_w \) is estimated by the polarization characterization method in the previous section. For the last step, the received waveform for a given arbitrary antenna orientation is estimated by Eq. (8). The steps in this polarization estimation algorithm are shown in Fig. 5.

V. APPLICATION TO THE MEASURED DATA AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the UWB polarization estimation algorithm was applied to the array measurement data. The desired estimated waveform is the received waveform when the antenna axes have orientation \( \mathbf{X}_A = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0 \right]^T \), \( \mathbf{Y}_A = \left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^T \), and \( \mathbf{Z}_A = \left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^T \). This antenna orientation is same as the one when from the vertically polarized orientation, the antenna is rotated 45° on the boresight axis and the receiver structure is rotated 45° at the top. The test location of the receiving antenna was at the center of the array (2, 2, 2) and the received waveform is shown at the top of Fig. 6. It is noted that the received waveform at the top of Fig. 6 is different from any measured waveform in Fig. 4.

For the Sensor-CLEAN algorithm, the beamformer output was generated at 2° increments in azimuth, and the following 36 elevation angles were used: 20°, 30°, 40°, 45°, 50°, 55°, 60°, 65°, 70°, 75°, 76°, 77°, 78°, 80°, 82°, 84°, 86°, 88°, 90°, 92°, 94°, 96°, 98°, 100°, 102°, 104°, 106°, 108°, 110°, 115°, 120°, 125°, 130°, 135°, 140°, 150°, and 160°. The width of the relaxation window was \( T_r = \pm 17 \) samples, with \( \gamma = 0.2 \) and a detection threshold of 0.01 volts for the beamformer. The number of decomposed multipath were 49, 34, and 21 for the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd antenna orientation, respectively. The multipath elements were combined into 10 associated combinations with \( T_w = 6 \) samples and \( \Theta_w = \Phi_w = 15° \). As with most indirect algorithms, the solution generated by the Sensor-CLEAN algorithm is a function not only of the data, but of the input parameters as well. The receiving transfer function \( H_R(f, \theta, \phi) \) was measured by the Network Analyzer in the anechoic chamber for any combination of \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) where \( \theta \in \{15°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75°, 90°\} \) and \( \phi \in \{0°, 15°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75°, 90°\} \). It is assumed that the antenna is isotropic, and the intermediate were approximated to the measured. The smoothing constant \( C \) in the polarization characterization method was chosen to be \( 5.5 \times 10^{-8} \).

The estimated waveform by the polarization characterization method for 13 multipath components is in the center
VI. CONCLUSION

The goal of this research was to understand the polarization characteristics of the indoor UWB propagation channel. The channel model for describing the polarization effect was generated and tested to estimate the received waveform for a specific antenna orientation. Although the Sensor-CLEAN algorithm was useful to decompose the multipath profile and estimate the time-of-arrival and angle-of-arrival, the algorithm could not provide the waveform shape estimates of a resolved component efficiently in the setup used here. The PVC antenna supporting structure for the virtual array measurement might interfere with the channel measurement and degrade the result. To improve the estimation result, the number of sensors in an array must be increased with an increased experiment time, and a more precise positioning antenna supporting structure must be used. In addition, the calibration of the plane wave assumption, especially the impinging wave reflected from a nearby object with respect to the 3-dimensional array, would improve the result. Characterizing the polarization effects of the electric field and the antenna in an ultra-wideband multipath channel remains an elusive objective.
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